



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2020

Endemic-epidemic framework used in Covid-19 modelling : discussion on the paper by Nunes, Caetano, Antunes and Dias

Bekker-Nielsen Dunbar, Maria ; Held, Leonhard

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-198715>

Journal Article

Published Version

Originally published at:

Bekker-Nielsen Dunbar, Maria; Held, Leonhard (2020). Endemic-epidemic framework used in Covid-19 modelling : discussion on the paper by Nunes, Caetano, Antunes and Dias. *RevStat : Statistical Journal*, 18(5):565-574.

ENDEMIC-EPIDEMIC FRAMEWORK USED IN COVID-19 MODELLING

(Discussion on the paper by Nunes, Caetano, Antunes and Dias)

Authors: M. BEKKER-NIELSEN DUNBAR

– Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Prevention Institute, University of Zurich,
Switzerland

maria.dunbar@uzh.ch

L. HELD

– Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Prevention Institute, and
Center for Reproducible Science, University of Zurich,
Switzerland

leonhard.held@uzh.ch

Abstract:

- Nunes *et al.* ([54]) provide an overview of mathematical models used to analyse epidemics and techniques for conducting studies to obtain parameter estimates for such models. They discuss the SEIR model which has been used in much coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) analysis. Our discussion presents a modelling framework based in time series analysis developed for the analysis of infectious disease surveillance data, as well as our use of the framework in analysing COVID-19. We believe many of the purposes of modelling infectious disease outlined by Nunes *et al.* ([54]) as well as the benefits of mathematical modelling highlighted can also be found in the statistical modelling techniques we use in our work.

1. ENDEMIC-EPIDEMIC MODELLING FRAMEWORK

Multiple epidemic data sources provide valuable information on different aspects of an infectious disease outbreak ([19]). Indeed, a recent simulation study by Colón-González *et al.* [18] indicates that use of multiple data streams arising from such surveillance activities can be a useful approach to disease detection. However, it is pertinent that appropriate statistical techniques be used in analysing such data sources to incorporate the associated uncertainties to avoid introducing bias and artificial precision in estimates of disease outcomes, impacts of disease control interventions, and real-time predictions ([9]). One such statistical technique is the endemic-epidemic (EE) modelling framework. The EE framework is a multivariate time series model created for analysis of infectious disease surveillance data ([30]). The simplest EE model is a spatio-temporal multivariate time-series model of disease incidence from surveillance data. The model additively decomposes incidence into endemic and epidemic components. The endemic component covers exogenous factors such as seasonality, sociodemography, and population while the epidemic component is autoregressive and is driven by previous case counts (“infectiousness”), i.e. the force of infection. We will discuss this modelling approach and its applicability to the current COVID-19 setting.

1.1. Applications

The EE framework has been applied to a multitude of infectious diseases classified as various types, e.g. diseases with other reservoirs than just humans, vaccine-preventable diseases, and vector-borne diseases, showcasing its versatility. See Table 1 for an overview of diseases analysed using the method. COVID-19 and SFTS are both currently considered emerging infectious diseases, showcasing the EE framework’s flexibility and ability to consider both novel and established diseases. Since its introduction, the EE framework has been extended to cover many different aspects of disease modelling and statistical analysis ([58, 57, 33, 47, 32, 48, 62]). Recent extensions include the possibility to estimate the serial interval distribution ([13]) and methodology to adjust for underreporting ([12]).

Table 1: Applications of the EE framework.

Disease	Reference(s)
Endemic porcine diseases	[3]
Leishmaniasis	[1, 52]
Dengue	[16, 75]
Invasive pneumococcal disease	[17]
Campylobacteriosis	[69]
Hand, foot and mouth disease	[6]
Measles	[30, 34, 50, 56]
Influenza	[58, 46, 47, 62]
Norovirus	[32, 31, 13]
Rotavirus	[13, 12]
Pertussis	[51]
Tuberculosis	[76]
Meningococcal disease	[58]
Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS)	[71]
Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)	see next section

NB: A regularly updated table of use cases is maintained by Sebastian Meyer at https://github.com/rforge/surveillance/blob/master/www/applications_EE.csv.

The EE framework is considered state-of-the-art and is often used as a benchmark model for comparison in infectious disease modelling and probabilistic forecasting ([7, 61, 64]). In model construction using the EE framework, it is possible to incorporate dependencies such as the spatial movements of a population under study; the effects of human movement can be examined statistically using gravity models ([14]). Gravity models examine the flow from one subpopulation to another taking into account locations on mobility networks rather than geographical distance. Such models have been used to examine measles epidemics ([72, 38]) and influenza pandemics ([68]). This is but one example of where the EE framework works well with other available modelling options. Finally, the EE framework is implemented in a readily available software package ([50]), and its extensions are included in a wider ecosystem of packages within the same software ([11, 49, 10]).

1.2. Comparison with mathematical modelling approaches

To provide further synergy with established methods, the EE framework can be motivated from the discrete-time SIR compartmental model ([36, 6, 67]) and can be adjusted to include natural depletion of susceptibles ([66]) as well as to incorporate potential future pharmaceutical countermeasures and vaccines ([34]). Co-occurrences and co-infections as well as the existence of multi-strain pathogens provide added levels of complexity to disease transmission. Specifically for multiple strains of a disease, Wakefield *et al.* [67] outline models that can be used for outbreak detection in settings — including the EE framework. Additionally, bivariate analysis of different diseases can be conducted, see e.g. the example of influenza and meningococcal disease by Paul *et al.* [58]. For this reason we believe the EE framework should conceivably be able to include 2020 influenza season in the northern hemisphere in a model for COVID-19. This is a co-occurrence and co-infection scenario being raised by policy makers as we enter the final months of 2020. We conceptualise one situation where full synergy between mathematical and statistical modelling may not be possible: consider the Anderson–May equation for calculating the basic reproductive rate of sexually transmitted infections. Mathematically it might make sense to consider the interplay between the five or so parameters but once we examine case count data, we may only really be able to estimate one parameter in place of the five. If the effects are not inseparable, additional data may be required to estimate them.

2. COVID-19 CASE STUDIES

We feel the EE framework is particularly well-suited to being adapted to examine COVID-19. The EE framework was developed in surveillance situations of weekly case counts of established diseases, both in terms of biology as well as available information capturing infrastructure of their associated surveillance systems, meaning no new data gathering approaches were required. The EE framework offers increased flexibility and robustness compared to more standard epidemic models which may need to be constructed on a disease-by-disease basis. The framework allows us to incorporate available evidence at various levels of detail and examine intervention measures and other explanatory variables, e.g. meteorological ([6]) with all unknown parameters being estimated with likelihood techniques from the available data. Spatio-temporal spread can be captured by suitably parametrised power laws ([47]) and gravity models ([72]), and long term predictions can be produced ([32]). Importantly, the spatio-temporal formulation of the EE model can be extended to include age-dependent contact information ([48]), which is often considered a proxy of transmission events for respiratory disease such as COVID-19. The EE model has also been extended to include higher order lags in the epidemic component, allowing for the inclusion of infectiousness from the entire serial interval in the analysis of daily COVID-19 counts ([13]). This allows us to consider data at a finer temporal resolution than weekly, and analyse the near-real-time daily COVID-19 case information. We are aware that the EE framework has been used in the epidemiologic and economic studies of COVID-19 listed in Table 2.

Table 2: EE models focusing on COVID-19.

Author	Area of focus
Dickson <i>et al.</i> [20]	Italy
Giuliani <i>et al.</i> [28]	Italy
Alipour <i>et al.</i> [4]	Germany
Berlamann and Haustein [8]	Germany
Fritz and Kauermann [23]	Germany
Fronterre <i>et al.</i> [24]	England
Ssentongo <i>et al.</i> [63]	African continent
SUSPend	Switzerland and surrounding

2.1. Introduction of the SUSPend project

We have been using EE modelling approaches in the *SUSPend: Impact of Social distancing policies and Underreporting on the SPatio-temporal spread of COVID-19* project. This project is funded by the Swiss national science foundation’s emergency support for research into coronaviruses as project number 196247. A description of the project can be found at <https://data.snf.ch/covid-19/snsf/196247>. Within the SUSPend project we are working on two subprojects, both concern the introduction of time-varying transmission weights in the model. The first subproject incorporates a contact matrix which changes over time. In particular, we are considering a synthetic contact matrix for Switzerland ([25]). The benefit to using the synthetic contact matrix for Switzerland rather than the single empirical one which exists ([35]) is that the sampling approach for the synthetic matrix is well-designed and the sample size is sufficiently large. The Swiss contact matrix considers contacts in various settings and we have adjusted these to reflect social distancing measures put in place, similar to other approaches seen in COVID-19 modelling ([70, 60, 55, 21]). The obvious alternative to adjusting contact matrices would be to consider instead contact surveys conducted during the COVID-19 outbreak as part of the EpiPose project (<https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/101003688>), whose contact survey work has recently expanded to cover additional countries, including Switzerland [personal communication]. Such information has mainly been gathered in the United Kingdom, an island nation in northern Europe, which may be very different to landlocked Switzerland. Additional contact surveys conducted during the 2020 COVID-19 outbreak have been done by Feehan and Mahmud [22] in a north American setting and Latsuzbaia *et al.* [45] in a central European setting, indicating there is an increasing awareness that understanding the evolution of contacts established during an ongoing outbreak is useful for informing future outbreak modelling efforts.

The second subproject we are working on uses time-varying adjacency matrices and focuses on spatial spread of COVID-19. We create time-varying adjacency matrices for the seven Swiss NUTS-2 regions and their immediate neighbouring regions. These matrices are adjusted from baseline adjacencies based on mobility data gathered from smartphone users available at subregional level. Such mobility data has been used in studies of COVID-19 in multiple countries, including: China ([63, 44, 42, 27, 2]), Taiwan ([15]), Japan ([43]), Italy

([59, 26]), France ([26]), the United Kingdom ([39, 5, 26]), the United States of America ([65, 40, 37, 74, 41]), and Brazil, Chile, Bolivia, Colombia, and Peru ([74]). Our first project concerns the first half of 2020 while the timescale considered in the second project is longer. The EE framework is suitably flexible to allow us to incorporate additional information as it is found to be important. Thus, considerations nested in both policy making and biological can be included in the model as they are identified.

An issue common to the COVID-19 pandemic, and thus both of our subprojects, is the presence of underreporting and reporting delays in case data ([53]). Simple multiplication factors can be applied to address the former. However, such multiplication factors need to be time-dependent to incorporate increased testing capacities and changes in testing strategies observed in some countries. Multiplication factors may also vary across age groups, which is particularly relevant for the subproject with time-varying contact matrices as this has an age focus. The usefulness of incorporating delays in disease surveillance models has been shown ([12]). Nowcasting allows us to predict the true number of case counts based on available data and can be used to address reporting delays. Within compartmental modelling, nowcasting is often referred to as “real-time modelling”. Nowcasting requires information both on test and reporting date on an individual basis. Unfortunately such information is rarely available in surveillance systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Lucas H. Kook for fruitful discussion on the Anderson–May equation. Our suggestions of use of mobility data made available by software companies is not an endorsement of the entities who gathered it. Country designation used in this manuscript are without prejudice to positions on status of the Republic of China (Taiwan) under the terms of international law.

REFERENCES

- [1] ADEGBOYE, O.A. and ADEGBOYE, M. (2017). Spatially correlated time series and ecological niche analysis of cutaneous leishmaniasis in Afghanistan, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, **14**(3), 309.
- [2] AINSLIE, K.E.C.; WALTERS, C.E.; FU, H.; BHATIA, S.; WANG, H.; XI, X.; BAGUELIN, M.; BHATT, S.; BOONYASIRI, A.; BOYD, O.; CATTARINO, L.; CIAVARELLA, C.; CUCUNUBA, Z.; CUOMO-DANNENBURG, G.; DIGHE, A.; DORIGATTI, I.; VAN ELSLAND, S.L.; FITZJOHN, R.; GAYTHORPE, K.; GHANI, A.C.; GREEN, W.; HAMLET, A.; HINSLEY, W.; IMAI, N.; JORGENSEN, D.; KNOCK, E.; LAYDON, D.; NEDJATI-GILANI, G.; OKELL, L.C.; SIVERONI, I.; THOMPSON, H.A.; UNWIN, H.J.T.; VERITY, R.; VOLLMER, M.; WALKER, P.G.T.; WANG, Y.; WATSON, O.J.; WHITTAKER, C.; WINSKILL, P.; DONNELLY, C.A.; FERGUSON, N.M. and RILEY, S. (2020). Evidence of initial success for China exiting COVID-19 social distancing policy after achieving containment, *Wellcome Open Res.*, **5**, 81.
- [3] ALBA-CASALS, A.; ALLUE, E.; TARANCON, V.; BALIELLAS, J.; NOVELL, E.; NAPP, S. and FRAILE, L. (2020). Near real-time monitoring of clinical events detected in swine herds in Northeastern Spain, *Front. Vet. Sci.*, **7**, 68.

- [4] ALIPOUR, J.-V.; FADINGER, H. and SCHYMIK, J. (2020). My home is my castle: the benefits of working from home during a pandemic crisis. Evidence from Germany, *ifo Working Papers*, **329**.
- [5] BASELLINI, U.; ALBUREZ-GUTIERREZ, D.; DEL FAVA, E.; PERROTTA, D.; BONETTI, M.; CAMARDA, C.G. and ZAGHENI, E. (2020). Linking excess mortality to Google mobility data during the COVID-19 pandemic in England and Wales, *HAL archives-ouvertes*, <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02899654>.
- [6] BAUER, C. and WAKEFIELD, J. (2018). Stratified space-time infectious disease modelling, with an application to hand, foot and mouth disease in China, *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. C Appl. Stat.*, **67**(5), 1379–1398.
- [7] BAUER, C.; WAKEFIELD, J.; RUE, H.; SELF, S.; FENG, Z. and WANG, Y. (2016). Bayesian penalized spline models for the analysis of spatio-temporal count data, *Stat. Med.*, **35**(11), 1848–1865.
- [8] BERLAMANN, M. and HAUSTEIN, E. (2020). Right and yet wrong: a spatio-temporal evaluation of Germany's COVID-19 containment policy, *CESifo Working Papers*, **8446**, https://www.cesifo.org/DocDL/cesifo1_wp8446.pdf.
- [9] BIRRELL, P.J.; WERNISCH, L.; TOM, B.D.M.; HELD, L.; ROBERTS, G.O.; PEBODY, R.G. and DE ANGELIS, D. (2020). Efficient real-time monitoring of an emerging influenza pandemic: how feasible?, *Ann. Appl. Stat.*, **14**(1), 74–93.
- [10] BRACHER, J. (2019). hhh4underreporting. R package, <https://github.com/jbracher/hhh4underreporting>.
- [11] BRACHER, J. and HELD, L. (2019). hhh4addon: extending the functionality of surveillance:hhh4. R package, <https://github.com/jbracher/hhh4addon>.
- [12] BRACHER, J. and HELD, L. (2020). A marginal moment matching approach for fitting endemic-epidemic models to underreported disease surveillance counts, *Biometrics*, <https://doi.org/10.1111/biom.13371>.
- [13] BRACHER, J. and HELD, L. (2020). Endemic-epidemic models with discrete-time serial interval distributions for infectious disease prediction, *Int. J. Forecast.*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijforecast.2020.07.002>.
- [14] BROCKMANN, D. and HELBING, D. (2013). The hidden geometry of complex, network-driven contagion phenomena, *Science*, **342**(6164), 1337–1342.
- [15] CHANG, M.-C.; KAHN, R.; LI, Y.-A.; LEE, C.-S.; BUCKEE, C.O. and CHANG, H.-H. (2020). Variation in human mobility and its impact on the risk of future COVID-19 outbreaks in Taiwan, *medRxiv*, <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.07.20053439>.
- [16] CHENG, Q.; LU, X.; WU, J.T.; LIU, Z. and HUANG, J. (2016). Analysis of heterogeneous dengue transmission in Guangdong in 2014 with multivariate time series model, *Sci. Rep.*, **6**, 33755.
- [17] CHIAVENNA, C.; PRESANIS, A.M.; CHARLETT, A.; DE LUSIGNAN, S.; LADHANI, S.; PEBODY, R.G. and DE ANGELIS, D. (2019). Estimating age-stratified influenza-associated invasive pneumococcal disease in England: a time-series model based on population surveillance data, *PLOS Med*, **16**(6), 1–21.
- [18] COLÓN-GONZÁLEZ, F.J.; LAKE, I.R.; MORBEY, R.A.; ELLIOT, A.J.; PEBODY, R. and SMITH, G.E. (2018). A methodological framework for the evaluation of syndromic surveillance systems: a case study of England, *BMC Public Health*, **18**, 544.
- [19] DE ANGELIS, D. and PRESANIS, A.M. (2020). *Analysing multiple epidemic data sources*. In “Handbook of Infectious Disease Data Analysis” (L. Held, N. Hens, P.D. O’Neill, and J. Wallinga, Eds.), Chapman & Hall/CRC Handbooks of Modern Statistical Methods, 477–508.
- [20] DICKSON, M.M.; ESPA, G.; GIULIANI, D.; SANTI, F. and SAVADORI, L. (2020). Assessing the effect of containment measures on the spatio-temporal dynamic of COVID-19 in Italy, *Nonlinear Dyn.*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-020-05853-7>.

- [21] DI DOMENICO, L.; PULLANO, G.; SABBATINI, C.E.; BOËLLE, P.-Y. and COLIZZA, V. (2020). Impact of lockdown on COVID-19 epidemic in Île-de-France and possible exit strategies, *BMC Med.*, **18**, 240.
- [22] FEEHAN, D. and MAHMUD, A. (2020). Quantifying interpersonal contact in the United States during the spread of COVID-19: first results from the Berkeley Interpersonal Contact Study, *medRxiv*, <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.13.20064014>.
- [23] FRITZ, C. and KAUEMANN, G. (2020). On the interplay of regional mobility, social connectedness, and the spread of COVID-19 in Germany, *arXiv*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.03013>.
- [24] FRONTERRE, C.; READ, J.M.; ROWLINGSON, B.; BRIDGEN, J.; ALDERTON, S.; DIGGLE, P.J. and JEWELL, C.P. (2020). COVID-19 in England: spatial patterns and regional outbreaks, *medRxiv*, <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.15.20102715>.
- [25] FUMANELLI, L.; AJELLI, M.; MANFREDI, P.; VESPIGNANI, A. and MERLER, S. (2012). Inferring the structure of social contacts from demographic data in the analysis of infectious diseases spread, *PLOS Comput. Biol.*, **8**(9), 1–10.
- [26] GALEAZZI, A.; CINELLI, M.; BONACCORSI, G.; PIERRI, F.; SCHMIDT, A.L.; SCALA, A.; PAMMOLLI, F. and QUATTROCIOCHI, W. (2020). Human mobility in response to COVID-19 in France, Italy and UK, *arXiv*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.06341>.
- [27] GIBBS, H.; LIU, Y.; PEARSON, C.A.B.; JARVIS, C.I.; GRUNDY, C.; QUILTY, B.J.; DIAMOND, C.; LSHTM CMMID COVID-19 WORKING GROUP and EGGO, R.M. (2020). Changing travel patterns in China during the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic, *medRxiv*, <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.14.20101824>.
- [28] GIULIANI, D.; DICKSON, M.M.; ESPA, G. and SANTI, F. (2020). Modelling and predicting the spatio-temporal spread of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Italy, *BMC Infectious Diseases*, **20**, 700.
- [29] HELD, L.; HOFMANN, M.; HÖHLE, M. and SCHMID, V. (2006). A two-component model for counts of infectious diseases, *Biostatistics*, **7**, 422–437.
- [30] HELD, L.; HÖHLE, M. and HOFMANN, M. (2005). A statistical framework for the analysis of multivariate infectious disease surveillance counts, *Stat. Model.*, **5**(3), 187–199.
- [31] HELD, L. and MEYER, S. (2020). *Forecasting based on surveillance data*. In “Handbook of Infectious Disease Data Analysis” (L. Held, N. Hens, P.D. O’Neill, and J. Wallinga, Eds.), Chapman & Hall/CRC Handbooks of Modern Statistical Methods, 509–528.
- [32] HELD, L.; MEYER, S. and BRACHER, J. (2017). Probabilistic forecasting in infectious disease epidemiology: the 13th Armitage lecture, *Stat. Med.*, **36**(22), 3443–3460.
- [33] HELD, L. and PAUL, M. (2012). Modeling seasonality in space-time infectious disease surveillance data, *Biom. J.*, **54**(6), 824–843.
- [34] HERZOG, S.; PAUL, M. and HELD, L. (2011). Heterogeneity in vaccination coverage explains the size and occurrence of measles epidemics in German surveillance data, *Epidemiol. Infect.*, **139**(4), 505–515.
- [35] HOANG, T.; COLETTI, P.; MELEGARO, A.; WALLINGA, J.; GRIJALVA, C.G.; EDMUNDS, J.W.; BEUTELS, P. and HENS, N. (2019). A systematic review of social contact surveys to inform transmission models of close-contact infections, *Epidemiology*, **30**(5), 723–736.
- [36] HÖHLE, M. (2016). *Infectious disease modelling*. In “Handbook of Spatial Epidemiology” (A. Lawson, S. Banerjee, R. Haining, and L. Ugarte, Eds.), Chapman & Hall/CRC Handbooks of Modern Statistical Methods.
- [37] HUANG, X.; LI, Z.; JIANG, Y.; YE, X.; DENG, C.; ZHANG, J. and LI, X. (2020). The characteristics of multi-source mobility datasets and how they reveal the luxury nature of social distancing in the U.S. during the COVID-19 pandemic, *medRxiv*, <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.31.20143016>.

- [38] JANDAROV, R.; HARAN, M.; BJØRNSTAD, O. and GRENFELL, B. (2020). Emulating a gravity model to infer the spatiotemporal dynamics of an infectious disease, *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. C Appl. Stat.*, **63**(3), 423–444.
- [39] JEFFREY, B.; WALTERS, C.E.; AINSLIE, K.E.C.; EALES, O.; CIAVARELLA, C.; BHATIA, S.; HAYES, S.; BAGUELIN, M.; BOONYASIRI, A.; BRAZEAU, N.F.; CUOMO-DANNENBURG, G.; FITZJOHN, R.G.; GAYTHORPE, K.; GREEN, W.; IMAI, N.; MELLAN, T.A.; MISHRA, S.; NOUVELLET, P.; UNWIN, H.J.T.; VERITY, R.; VOLLMER, M.; WHITTAKER, C.; FERGUSON, N.M.; DONNELLY, C.A. and RILEY, S. (2020). Anonymised and aggregated crowd level mobility data from mobile phones suggests that initial compliance with COVID-19 social distancing interventions was high and geographically consistent across the UK, *Wellcome Open Res.*, **5**, 170.
- [40] KISSLER, S.; KISHORE, N.; PRABHU, M.; GOFFMAN, D.; BEILIN, Y.; LANDAU, R.; GYAMFI-BANNERMAN, C.; BATEMAN, B.; KATZ, D.; GAL, J.; BIANCO, A.; STONE, J.; LARREMORE, D.; BUCKEE, C. and GRAD, Y. (2020). Reductions in commuting mobility predict geographic differences in SARS-CoV-2 prevalence in New York City, <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:42665370>.
- [41] KLEIN, B.; LAROCK, T.; MCCABE, S.; TORRES, L.; FRIEDLAND, L.; PRIVITERA, F.; LAKE, B.; KRAEMER, M.U.G.; BROWNSTEIN, J.S.; LAZER, D.; ELIASSI-RAD, R.; SCARPINO, S.V.; VESPIGNANI, A. and CHINAZZI, M. (2020). Reshaping a nation: Mobility, commuting, and contact patterns during the COVID-19 outbreak, https://www.mobs-lab.org/uploads/6/7/8/7/6787877/covid19mobility_report2.pdf.
- [42] KRAEMER, M.U.G.; YANG, C.-H.; GUTIERREZ, B.; WU, C.-H.; KLEIN, B.; PIGOTT, D.M.; OPEN COVID-19 DATA WORKING GROUP; DU PLESSIS, L.; FARIA, N.R.; LI, R.; HANAGE, W.P.; BROWNSTEIN, J.S.; LAYAN, M.; VESPIGNANI, A.; TIAN, H.; DYE, C.; PYBUS, O.G. and SCARPINO, S.V. (2020). The effect of human mobility and control measures on the COVID-19 epidemic in China, *Science*, **368**(6490), 493–497.
- [43] KURITA, J.; SUGAWARA, T. and OHKUSA, Y. (2020). NTT Docomo and Apple mobility data compared as countermeasures against COVID-19 outbreak in Japan, *medRxiv*, <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.01.20087155>.
- [44] LAI, S.; RUKTANONCHAI, N.W.; ZHOU, L.; PROSPER, O.; LUO, W.; FLOYD, J.R.; WESOLOWSKI, A.; SANTILLANA, M.; ZHANG, C.; DU, X.; YU, H. and TATEM, A.J. (2020). Effect of non-pharmaceutical interventions to contain COVID-19 in China, *Nature*, **585**(7825), 410–413.
- [45] LATSUZBAIA, A.; HEROLD, M.; BERTEMES, J.-P. and MOSSONG, J. (2020). Evolving social contact patterns during the COVID-19 crisis in Luxembourg, *PLOS ONE*, **15**(8), 1–13.
- [46] LU, J. and MEYER, S. (2020). Forecasting flu activity in the United States: benchmarking an endemic-epidemic beta model, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, **17**(4), 1381.
- [47] MEYER, S. and HELD, L. (2014). Power-law models for infectious disease spread, *Ann. Appl. Stat.*, **8**, 1612–1639.
- [48] MEYER, S. and HELD, L. (2017). Incorporating social contact data in spatio-temporal models for infectious disease spread, *Biostatistics*, **18**, 338–351.
- [49] MEYER, S. and HELD, L. (2017). hhh4contacts: Age-Structured Spatio-Temporal Models for Infectious Disease Counts. R package, <https://github.com/cran/hhh4contacts>.
- [50] MEYER, S.; HELD, L. and HÖHLE, M. (2017). Spatio-temporal analysis of epidemic phenomena using the R package surveillance, *J. Stat. Softw.*, **77**(11), 1–55.
- [51] MUNRO, A.; SMALLMAN-RAYNOR, M. and ALGAR, A.C. (2020). Long-term changes in endemic threshold populations for pertussis in England and Wales: a spatiotemporal analysis of Lancashire and South Wales, 1940–69, *Soc. Sci. Med.*, 113295, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2020.113295>.

- [52] NIGHTINGALE, E.S.; CHAPMAN, L.A.C.; SRIKANTIAH, S.; SUBRAMANIAN, S.; PURUSHOTHAMAN, J.; BRACHER, J.; CAMERON, M. and MEDLEY, G. (2020). A spatio-temporal approach to short-term forecasting of visceral leishmaniasis diagnoses in India, *medRxiv*, <https://doi.org/10.1101/19009258>.
- [53] NOUFAILY, A. (2020). *Underreporting and reporting delays*. In “Handbook of Infectious Disease Data Analysis” (L. Held, N. Hens, P.D. O’Neill, and J. Wallinga, Eds.), Chapman & Hall/CRC Handbooks of Modern Statistical Methods, 437–454.
- [54] NUNES, B.; CAETANO, C.; ANTUNES, L. and DIAS, C. (2020). Statistics in times of pandemics: the role of statistical and epidemiological methods during the COVID-19 emergency, *REVSTAT – Statistical Journal*, **18**(5), 553–564.
- [55] PANOVSKA-GRIFFITHS, J.; KERR, C.C.; STUART, R.M.; MISTRY, D.; KLEIN, D.J.; VINER, R.M. and BONELL, C. (2020). Determining the optimal strategy for reopening schools, the impact of test and trace interventions, and the risk of occurrence of a second COVID-19 epidemic wave in the UK: a modelling study, *Lancet Child Adolesc. Health*, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642\(20\)30250-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30250-9).
- [56] PARPIA, A.S.; SKRIP, L.A.; NSOESIE, E.O.; NGWA, M.C.; ABAH ABAH, A.S.; GALVANI, A.P. and NDEFFO-MBAH, M.L. (2020). Spatio-temporal dynamics of measles outbreaks in Cameroon, *Ann. Epidemiol.*, **42**, 64–72.e3.
- [57] PAUL, M. and HELD, L. (2011). Predictive assessment of a non-linear random effects model for multivariate time series of infectious disease counts, *Stat. Med.*, **30**, 1118–1136.
- [58] PAUL, M.; HELD, L. and TOSCHKE, A.M. (2008). Multivariate modelling of infectious disease surveillance data, *Stat. Med.*, **27**(29), 6250–6267.
- [59] PEPE, E.; BAJARDI, P.; GAUVIN, L.; PRIVITERA, F.; LAKE, B.; CATTUTO, C. and TIZZONI, M. (2020). COVID-19 outbreak response, a dataset to assess mobility changes in Italy following national lockdown, *Sci. Data*, **7**(1), 230.
- [60] PREM, K.; LIU, Y.; RUSSELL, T.; KUCHARSKI, A.J.; EGGO, R.M.; DAVIES, N.; CENTRE FOR THE MATHEMATICAL MODELLING OF INFECTIOUS DISEASES COVID-19 WORKING GROUP; JIT, M. and KLEPAC, P. (2020). The effect of control strategies that reduce social mixing on outcomes of the COVID-19 epidemic in Wuhan, China, *Lancet Public Health*, **5**(5), 261–270.
- [61] RAY, E.L.; SAKREJDA, K.; LAUER, S.A.; JOHANSSON, M.A. and REICH, N.G. (2017). Infectious disease prediction with kernel conditional density estimation, *Stat. Med.*, **36**(30), 4908–4929.
- [62] REEVE, K. (2017). *Spatio-temporal forecasting and infectious disease count data*, Master’s thesis, University of Zurich, <https://www.math.uzh.ch/li/index.php?file&key1=54294>.
- [63] SSENTONGO, P.; FRONTERRE, C.; GERONIMO, A.; GREYBUSH, S.J.; MBABAZI, P.K.; MUVAWALA, J.; NAHALAMBA, S.; OMADI, P.O.; OPAR, B.T.; SINNAR, S.A.; WANG, Y.; WHALEN, A.J.; HELD, L.; JEWELL, C.; MUWANGUZI, A.J.B.; GREATREX, H.; NORTON, M.M.; DIGGLE, P. and SCHIFF, S.J. (2020). *Tracking and predicting the African COVID-19 pandemic*, (in prep.).
- [64] STOJANOVIĆ, O.; LEUGERING, J.; PIPA, G.; GHOZZI, S. and ULLRICH, A. (2019). A Bayesian Monte Carlo approach for predicting the spread of infectious diseases, *PLOS ONE*, **14**(12), 1–20.
- [65] UNWIN, H.; MISHRA, S.; BRADLEY, V.C.; GANDY, A.; VOLLMER, M.; MELLAN, T.; COUPLAND, H.; AINSLIE, K.; WHITTAKER, C.; ISH-HOROWICZ, J.; FILIPPI, S.; XI, X.; MONOD, M.; RATMANN, O.; HUTCHINSON, M.; VALKA, F.; ZHU, H.; HAWRYLUK, I.; MILTON, P.; BAGUELIN, M.; BOONYASIRI, A.; BRAZEAU, N.; CATTARINO, L.; CHARLES, G.; COOPER, L.; CUCUNUBA PEREZ, Z.; CUOMO-DANNENBURG, G.; DJAAFARA, A.; DORIGATTI, I.; EALES, O.; EATON, J.; VAN ELSLAND, S.; FITZJOHN, R.; GAYTHORPE, K.; GREEN, W.; HALLETT, T.; HINSLEY, W.; IMAI, N.; JEFFREY, B.; KNOCK, E.; LAYDON, D.; LEES, J.; NEDJATI GILANI, G.; NOUVELLET, P.; OKELL, L.; OWER, A.; PARAG, K.; SIVERONI, I.;

- THOMPSON, H.; VERITY, R.; WALKER, P.; WALTERS, C.; WANG, Y.; WATSON, O.; WHITTLES, L.; GHANI, A.; FERGUSON, N.; RILEY, S.; DONNELLY, C.; BHATT, S. and FLAXMAN, S. (2020). Report 23: State-level tracking of COVID-19 in the United States, <https://doi.org/10.25561/79231>.
- [66] WAKEFIELD, J. (2018). Spatio-temporal modeling of infectious disease data in a developing world setting — Presentation at the University of Montreal, http://www.crm.umontreal.ca/2018/Spatial18/pdf/Wakefield_slides.pdf.
- [67] WAKEFIELD, J.; DONG, T.Q. and MININ, V.N. (2020). *Spatio-temporal analysis of surveillance data*. In “Handbook of Infectious Disease Data Analysis” (L. Held, N. Hens, P.D. O’Neill, and J. Wallinga, Eds.), Chapman & Hall/CRC Handbooks of Modern Statistical Methods, 455–476.
- [68] WALTERS, C.E.; MESLÉ, M.M.I. and HALL, I.M. (2018). Modelling the global spread of diseases: a review of current practice and capability, *Epidemics*, **25**, 1–8.
- [69] WEI, W.; SCHÜPBACH, G. and HELD, L. (2015). Time-series analysis of Campylobacter incidence in Switzerland, *Epidemiol. Infect.*, **143**(9), 1982–1989.
- [70] WILLEM, L.; HOANG, T.V.; FUNK, S.; COLETTI, P.; BEUTELS, P. and HENS, N. (2020). SOCRATES: An online tool leveraging a social contact data sharing initiative to assess mitigation strategies for COVID-19, *BMC Res. Notes*, **13**(1), 293.
- [71] WU, H.; WU, C.; LU, Q.; DING, Z.; XUE, M. and LIN, J. (2020). Spatial-temporal characteristics of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome and the relationship with meteorological factors from 2011 to 2018 in Zhejiang Province, China, *PLOS Negl. Trop. Dis.*, **14**(4), 1–17.
- [72] XIA, Y.; BJØRNSTAD, O.N. and GRENFELL, B.T. (2004). Measles metapopulation dynamics: a gravity model for epidemiological coupling and dynamics, *Am. Nat.*, **164**(2), 267–281.
- [73] YUAN, Z.; XIAO, Y.; DAI, Z.; HUANG, J.; ZHANG, Z. and CHEN, Y. (2020). Modelling the effects of Wuhan’s lockdown during COVID-19, China, *Bull. World Health Organ*, **98**, 484–494, <https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.20.254045>.
- [74] ZHU, D.; MISHRA, S.R.; HAN, X. and SANTO, K. (2020). Social distancing in Latin America during the COVID-19 pandemic: an analysis using the Stringency Index and Google Community Mobility Reports, *J. Travel Med.*, <https://doi.org/10.1093/jtm/taaa125>.
- [75] ZHU, G.; XIAO, J.; LIU, T.; ZHANG, B.; HAO, Y. and MA, W. (2019). Spatiotemporal analysis of the dengue outbreak in Guangdong Province, China, *BMC Infect. Dis.*, **19**, 493.
- [76] ZUO, Z.; WANG, M.; CUI, H.; WANG, Y.; WU, J.; QI, J.; PAN, K.; SUI, D.; LIU, P. and XU, A. (2020). Spatiotemporal characteristics and the epidemiology of tuberculosis in China from 2004 to 2017 by the nationwide surveillance system, *BMC Public Health*, **20**(1), 1284.